

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/811,646 03/29/2004		Suad Efendic	X-10822B	3074	
25885 75	90 05/02/2006		EXAMINER		
ELI LILLY & COMPANY			CHANDRA, GYAN		
PATENT DIVISION P.O. BOX 6288			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288			1646	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/811,646	EFENDIC, SUAD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gyan Chandra	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO CET TO EVEIDE AMONTH!	C) OD TUBETY (20) DAVC				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	т.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dojected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 10.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/2004. 		eater Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's reply filed on 2/22/2006 is acknowledged. Applicant states that claims 1-12 are canceled and claims 13-20 are new claims which should be examined together. Applicant's arguments are persuasive and therefore, claims 13-20 are under examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 3/29/2004 has been considered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Application/Control Number: 10/811,646

Art Unit: 1646

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims13-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,747,006. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application of a method of treating myocardial infarction comprising administering an effective amount of a compound selected from the group consisting of GLP-1, GLP-1 analogs and GLP-1 derivative wherein (i) the dose is between 0.25 to about 6 pmol/kg/min, and (ii) a compound that exerts insulinotropic activity by interacting with the same receptor(s) with which GLP-1, GLP-1 analogs, and GLP-1 derivatives, are disclosed in claims disclosed in column 12, lines 45-47 of the U. S. Patent No. 6,747,006. The instant invention does not require that the composition comprise a preservative and that the level of blood glucose be kept between about 4.1 mM to 7.5 mM. Therefore, the scope of the instant invention is different than the U.S Patent No. 6,747,006.

Claims 13-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,277,819. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from

Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application of a method of treating myocardial infarction comprising administering an effective amount of a compound selected from the group consisting of GLP-1, GLP-1 analogs and GLP-1 derivative wherein (i) the dose is between 0.25 to about 6 pmol/kg/min, and (ii) a compound that exerts insulinotropic activity by interacting with the same receptor(s) with which GLP-1, GLP-1 analogs, and GLP-1 derivatives, are disclosed in claims 1-6 and in column 12, 52-54. The instantly claimed invention does not require an intravenous administration of a compound. Therefore, the scope of the instant invention is different than the U.S Patent No. 6,277,819.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,646 Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gyan Chandra whose telephone number is (571) 272-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gyan Chandra, Ph.D. Art Unit 1646

24 April 2006

Fax: 571-273-2922

EILEEN B. O'HARA PRIMARY EXAMINER

ileen B.O Hara